

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/982 113      | 10/17/2001  | Gabriel Lonez-Berestein | UTSC:660US/SLH      | 9331             |

7590

03/12/2003

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P. A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP Suite 2400 600 Congress Avenue Austin, TX 78701 EXAMINER
KISHORE, GOLLAMUDI S

PAPER NUMBER

ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/982,113

Gollamudi Kishore

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

1615

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address

|                     | The MALING DATE of this communication appears   | on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |
|---------------------|---|--|
| Period <sup>1</sup> | for Reply   |  |
|                     | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET   | TO EXPIRE <u>30 days</u> MONTH(S) FROM   |
|                     | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.   | no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the   |
| mailing             | g date of this communication.   |  |
|                     | period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a | ne statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. |
|                     | to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the oply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t  |  |
| earned              | d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  |  |
| Status              | December to communication(s) filed as   |  |
| 1) ☐<br>2a) ☐       |   | ion is non-final.  |
|                     |   |  |
| 3) 🗀                | Since this application is in condition for allowance colosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa  | except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |
| Disnosi             | tion of Claims  | nte dudyie, 1999 G.B. 11, 499 G.G. 219.  |
| _                   |   | is/are pending in the application.   |
|                     |   |  |
|                     |   | is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |
| 5) 🗆                | Claim(s)  |  |
| 6) 🗌                | Claim(s)  | is/are rejected.   |
| 7) 🗌                | Claim(s)  | is/are objected to.  |
| 8) 💢                | Claims <u>1-130</u>   | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |
| Applica             | ition Papers  |  |
| 9) 🗌                | The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |
| 10)                 | The drawing(s) filed on is/are  | a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.   |
|                     | Applicant may not request that any objection to the d   | rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |
| 11)                 | The proposed drawing correction filed on  | is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner   |
|                     | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply   | to this Office action.   |
| 12)                 | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami   | iner.  |
| Priority            | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |  |
| 13)                 | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pa   | riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |
| a) 🗆                | ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:  |  |
|                     | 1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav   | e been received.   |
|                     | 2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav   | e been received in Application No  |
|                     |   | ocuments have been received in this National Stage   |
| *S                  | application from the International Bure<br>ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th  | , ,,   |
| 14)                 | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic   |  |
| a) [                | The translation of the foreign language provisiona  | al application has been received.  |
| 15)                 | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic   |  |
| Attachm             | ent(s)  |  |
|                     | otice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  |
| 2) No               | tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |
| 3) Inf              | ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   | 6) Other:  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-42 are, drawn to a method of preparation of liposomes, classified in class 264, subclass 4.1.
  - II. Claims 42-53 and 120-129 are, drawn to compositions, classified in class 424, subclass 450.
  - III.. Claims 54-119 and 130 are, drawn to methods of treatment of cancer or increasing the growth inhibitory effects of fenretinide or inhibiting the metastasis, classified in class 514, subclass 725 and subclasses depending upon the agents which increase the level of nitric oxide.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the liposomes can be made by several conventional methods including those which applicants themselves recite on pages 32 and 33 of the specification.

- 3. Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method can be practiced by two different compositions applicants themselves are claiming.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

**Species in Group II (composition claims):** 

- a) composition in claims 43-53;
- b) composition in claims 120-129.

**Species in Group III (method claims):** 

- a) method in claims 54-60;
- b) method in claims 61-90;

c) method in claims 91-119 and 130.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

If applicants elect method of treatment claims a or b, they must further elect a species of an agent which increases the nitric oxide levels (for e.g., species recited in claims 72-77; claims 101-106).

- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to G.S. Kishore whose telephone number is (703) 308-2440.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, T.K. Page, can be reached on (703)308-2927. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)305-3592.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [thurman.page@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1235.

Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph. D

**Primary Examiner** 

**Group 1600**